

Auftrags-Nr. KZUSI-2

Datum : 24-Oct-09

Dieser Bericht fasst die Qualität Ihres bearbeiteten Manuskripts insgesamt zusammen. Wir bieten detaillierte Anmerkungen zur Qualität für jeden Bereich an, die alle, wenn möglich, durch Beispiele belegt werden. Bitte beachten Sie, dass Bereiche, die anhand Ihrer Anweisungen nicht bearbeitet bzw. nicht formatiert wurden, keine Anmerkungen oder Beispiele enthalten.

2 Abschnitt	Kommentar	Beispi
Gesamt Manuskript 	<p>We have edited the manuscript in line with the intended audience.</p> <p>The sections of the manuscript require some remanagement, rewording, expansion or summary. Please refer to the following comments.</p>	<p>The Introduction section is well-written and covers the background effectively. But the Discussion section does not address all the results reported and needs to be elaborated further.</p>
Titel & Zusammenfassung 	<p>The title was not informative enough, and required some revisions. Please check carefully the changes/suggestions provided.</p> <p>We have carefully checked the title to ensure that it clearly conveys the aim of the study.</p> <p>Although the abstract followed a logical structure, some changes for clarity were made.</p> <p>In the abstract, we have edited purpose, methods and materials, results, and conclusions for clarity.</p>	<p>The title was apt for the study and informative, but not concise. We have edited it for brevity and better readability.</p> <p>It had to be revised because it should be unstructured according to journal instructions.</p> <p>We have added statistical data to the results for better reader comprehension.</p>
Einleitung 	<p>In the Introduction, the purpose and approach of the study are clearly indicated.</p> <p>The statement of the relevance of the findings is included in the Introduction.</p> <p>In the Introduction, the scope of the investigation is not included. Please include this information.</p> <p>This section does not state limits of the problem and investigation. Please include the statement in the Introduction.</p>	<p>Please check if this is important enough to be included in this section.</p> <p>Please check if they are important enough to be included in this section.</p>
Material & Methoden  	<p>Since the methodology is not described appropriately and cannot be reproduced by another investigator, please refer to our comments for making revisions.</p> <p>In this section, the measurement procedures are not described as required. Please refer to our comments.</p> <p>Statistical significance is appropriately conveyed through the tests used in this study, and the samples used appear truly randomized.</p> <p>Measurement parameters such as sensitivity and accuracy are described in clear and accurate language.</p> <p>Some calculations seem incorrect.</p>	<p>Please consider adding the SPSS program name and version number. This section is otherwise complete.</p> <p>Please consider adding a sentence or brief paragraph about the sample selection procedures and the tests used to compare the two groups. Otherwise, this section looks clear and complete.</p> <p>Please check if appropriate symbol for a partial correlation coefficient is used. If not, use the appropriate symbol.</p>
Ergebnisse 	<p>Some of the sentences from the Results section were moved to the Discussion section.</p> <p>All the reported findings are relevant to the thesis.</p> <p>The text contains appropriate summary of key results presented in figures and tables.</p>	<p>For better flow, we have broken down some of the longer paragraphs into smaller ones focusing on a particular sample or a single aspect of the analysis. Please check.</p> <p>But demographics should always come first. Table 2 should be renumbered as Table 1, and vice versa. Please check.</p>
Erörterung 	<p>Discussion regarding whether some of the key results support the main hypothesis was not convincing.</p> <p>The length of the discussion is appropriate.</p> <p>The content presented in the Discussion section is appropriate and does not repeat information from the Results section.</p> <p>All of the conclusions are clear.</p>	<p>Discussion does not address all the results reported and needs to be elaborated further.</p> <p>We have reorganized and simplified some sentences for better presentation of information.</p> <p>The conclusion has been shortened to summarize the main point of the paper. Please consider adding supplemental data in the form of tables showing the results of the statistical analysis of the variables studied, and refer to these tables in both Results and Discussion. These numbers are important to understanding your analyses.</p>
Abbildungen & Tabellen 	<p>The figures and/or tables support the main text, and there are some minor discrepancies.</p> <p>They have also been cited in the main text at appropriate places.</p> <p>The legends are somewhat complete and accurate.</p> <p>In this manuscript, some figures and/or tables need reference to the text for better comprehension.</p>	<p>Please check Remark 4.</p> <p>Please provide the statistic details for Figure 2B and B as provided in C and D, i.e., please mention $P < 0.05$ at the top of the graph.</p> <p>Please provide footnotes to explain some of the abbreviations and units used (e.g., colony time frame).</p>
Literatur 	<p>Most statements of fact are supported by appropriate references.</p> <p>The literature cited is very pertinent to the investigation.</p> <p>Note that many references cited in the manuscript did not follow a consistent format.</p>	<p>Some sentences in Introduction require supporting references. They have been tagged accordingly. Please check.</p> <p>We have formatted both in-text as well as end-of references according to the journal guidelines.</p>
Inhalt 	<p>There are gaps in content in some sections of the paper.</p> <p>Where applicable, the necessary information has been added.</p>	<p>Apart from Discussion, all text seems satisfactory.</p> <p>We have pointed this above and in the Remarks file.</p>
Terminologie 	<p>Most of the scientific terminology and representation is inappropriate and inconsistent.</p>	<p>We have presented the units in an exponential format as per guidelines. Also, some units did not conform to the SI units. We have pointed these out in the Remarks file.</p>
Schreibstil 	<p>The capitalization/italicization/number style is as per the conventions of the subject area.</p>	
Journal Format 	<p>Some journal guidelines have not been followed.</p> <p>We have added a remark for the author to check what needs to be done next, where applicable.</p> <p>We have verified that the structure and/or length of the abstract conforms to the journal guidelines.</p> <p>We have followed the style guide stipulated by the guidelines.</p>	<p>The journal requires a cover letter along with the main manuscript, the checklist is given in the Remarks file. Please check this before submission.</p> <p>Some sections are missing, and remarks have been written for the same.</p> <p>Abstract has been revised because it should be unstructured according to journal instructions. Length is fine.</p> <p>We have followed the CBE style as per guidelines.</p> <p>No template application.</p>

Strukturelle Überprüfung

Überprüfung der Nebentexte

Überprüfung von Inhalt, Terminologie, Ausdruck und Formatierung